



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/323,765	06/01/1999	MARK D. SCOTT	259.006US1	9616

7590

08/29/2002

MARK A. LITMAN
MARK A. LITMAN AND ASSOCIATES, P.A.
YORK BUSINESS CENTER, SUITE 205
3209 WEST 76TH ST.
EDINA, MN 55435

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002

24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliance with
37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application No.
09/323,765

Applicant(s)

Scott et al

Examiner

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1647



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The Appeal Brief filed on Mar 25, 2002 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☒ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. ☒ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. ☐ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) ☐ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) ☐ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. ☒ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

2 & 3) No petition to enter the after-final amendment has been submitted, in contrast to that alleged. It is also inappropriate to hedge the status of the claims as being conditionally withdrawn and/or amended.

5) Issues/grouping of claims not accurately stated: issue #1 is moot (withdrawn in paper #16); issue #2 is moot (withdrawn in paper #20); issue #3 is not correctly recited (see paper #16) and is withdrawn as stated on pg.8(?) in brief ?; issue # 5-7's pending claim #s are inaccurate.

8) Claim 31 is incorrect, and claim 24 contains typographical errors.